

George Lardner, newsroom
The Washington Post
1150 15 St., NW
Washington, DC 20071

10/15/95

Dear George,

Your yesterday's Ruby Ridge piece on the FBI lab reminds me that the case records in my FOIA lawsuits ^{are} ~~is~~ loaded with unrebutted allegations ranging from the destruction of evidence to perjury.

The Act's investigatory files exemption was amended in 1974 over FBI ~~men~~ mendacity in my first suit for the JFK scientific test results. When we refiled that suit rather than rely on lawyer arguments I attributed perjury to the lab agent, John "Bilty", under oath myself so one of us was a perjurer. Judge John Pratt, apparently embarrassed by that, threatened Jim and me. When we were adamant the DJ "defense" of the perjury charge was that I could make such allegations ad infinitum since I knew more about the assassination and its investigations than anyone then employed by the FBI. And Pratt accepted that.

Under oath myself I alleged FBI perjury at least a half-dozen times, all ignored by the courts.

It withheld all its King assassination information from the Memphis prosecutor until he complained to the DJ.

There is also a bit of this in the NEVER AGAIN! Afterword.

If it has not occurred to you, I suggest that Clinton's yesterday speech on abused women and those killed by husbands and boyfriends should encourage your published to send him a review copy and if that is not yet available, a set of proofs. Your book should help his campaign immeasurably.

I do not think the Post will mention NEVER AGAIN! or even think of reviewing it but I have the documents based on which I allege a de facto conspiracy not to investigate the crime and all those I use in the Afterword.

I do allege perjury by the autopsy prosecutors, all of them.

To date not a peep from them from JAMA or Specter or anyone else.

Specter was wise to say that he did not think further questioning is indicated.

He has his own past with the FBI in such matters in the JFK case!

best,

Hard